

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,925	03/25/2004	Hisashi Mogi	52433/756	8039
7590 10/09/2007 KENYON & KENYON One Broadway			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TUYEN T	
New York, NY 10004			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10/808,925	MOGI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TUYEN T. NGUYEN	2832					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	ıly 2007.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
·— ···	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are rejected.							
· - · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and of	olocion requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/034,061.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 	5) D Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 4, applicant should clarify how the viscoelastic layers being inserted at random at m gaps among the n-1 gaps of laminated layers and at the same time m satisfying the following formula: $3 \le (n-1)/m \le 30$. Applicant should clarify the specific random of the viscoelastic layers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 7, as best understood in view of the rejection under 35 USC 112 second paragraph, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai et al. [JP 08-250339] in view of Niwa et al. [US 5,063,098].

Arai et al. discloses a grain-oriented electromagnetic steel plate/sheet for forming an iron core for a low noise induction apparatus comprising:

- an electromagnetic steel plate/sheet [a]; and

- a viscoelastic layer [b] disposed on at least one surface of the electromagnetic steel

plate/sheet.

Arai et al. discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific thickness of the

viscoelastic layer.

Niwa et al. discloses an electrical steel sheet [1] including at least one viscoelastic layer

of $30\mu m$ or more to $60 \mu m$ or less in thickness disposed on at least one of the surfaces of the steel

sheet, wherein the viscoelastic layer having the loss factor have one or more peeks at

temperatures within the range from 20 to 200 degrees Celsius.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to use the viscoelastic layer design of Niwa et al. for the viscoelastic layer

of Arai et al. for the purpose of providing vibration damping effect.

Regarding claims 4, the specific random arrangement of the viscoelastic layer relative to

the steel sheet would have been an obvious design consideration based on the intended

applications/environments use for the purpose of reducing noise.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/9/2007 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicant argues that the prior art of record do not teach or suggest randomly inserting

viscoelastic layers between the electrical steel sheets.

The examiner disagrees.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,925

Art Unit: 2832

Applicant claims the viscoelastic layers being randomly inserted between the electrical steel sheets. At the same time it has to satisfying the formula $3 \le (n-1)/m \le 30$. According to the claim, the viscoelastic layers are being inserted at a fixed formula and cannot be inserted randomly at the same time. Applicant has not claimed, nor has examiner considered, how randomly the viscoelastic layers being inserted between the electrical steel sheets.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TUYEN T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-1996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,925

Art Unit: 2832

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, ELVIN ENAD can be reached on 571-272-1990. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TNTN

TUYEN T. NGUYEN
Primary Examiner
Technology On the second

Tuyen Nguyen

Page 5

Technology Center 2800